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Introduction

With the increased adoption of the JESD204 interface in data converters, it has become necessary to devote more attention to the performance and optimization of the digital interface. The focus must not be only on the data converter performance. The first two revisions of the standard, JESD204 in 2006 and JESD204A in 2008, specified data rates of 3.125 Gbps. The latest revision, JESD204B released in 2011, lists three speed grades with the maximum data rate of 12.5 Gbps. These three speed grades are governed by three different electrical interface specifications formulated by the Optical Internetworking Forum (OIF). For data rates up to 3.125 Gbps, OIF-Sx5-01.0, details the electrical interface specifications while the CEI-6G-SR and CEI-11G-SR detail the specifications for data rates up to 6.375 Gbps and 12.5 Gbps, respectively. The high speed data rates require more attention be given to the design and performance of the high speed CML drivers, receivers, and interconnect network which make up the physical interface (PHY) of the JESD204B interface.

To evaluate the performance of the PHY for a JESD204B transmitter, there are several performance metrics that are evaluated. These include common mode voltage, differential peak-to-peak voltage, differential impedance, differential output return loss, common mode return loss, transmitter short circuit current, eye diagram mask, and jitter. This article will focus on three key performance metrics that are typically used to evaluate the quality of the transmitted signal, the eye diagram, the bathtub plot, and the histogram plot. These measurements are made from the perspective of the receiver as that is where the signal must be properly decoded. The eye diagram overlays multiple acquisitions of the output data transitions to create a plot that can give many indications of the link quality. This plot can be used to observe many characteristics of the JESD204B physical interface such as impedance discontinuities and improper terminations. This is just one way that the physical layer can be evaluated. The bathtub plot and the histogram plot are two other important performance metrics that are used to evaluate the quality of the JESD204B link. The bathtub plot gives a visual representation of the bit error rate (BER) for a given eye width opening given measured in terms of the unit interval (UI). The unit interval is the specified time given in the physical layer specifications for JESD204B that gives the amount of time between data transitions. The third measurement is the histogram plot which gives the distribution of the measured UI variation. The measurement is also an indication of the amount of jitter present in the measured signal. This plot along with the eye diagram and the bathtub plot can be used to gauge the overall performance of the physical layer of the JESD204B interface. A JESD204B transmitter with an output data rate of 5.0 Gbps is presented. The performance for a transmitter of this data rate is detailed by the OIF CEI-6G-SR specification.
The Eye Diagram

Figure 1 shows an eye diagram for a JESD204B transmitter with a 5.0 Gbps data rate. The ideal waveform is overlaid on a measured waveform. Ideally the transitions would be almost instantaneous with no overshoot or undershoot and without any ringing. In addition, the cross points which determine the UI would be without jitter. As can be seen from Figure 1, in a real system ideal waveforms are not possible to achieve due to non-ideal transmission media which has loss and terminations that are not matched exactly. The eye diagram shown is a measurement made at the receiver in a JESD204B system. The signal has passed through a connector and approximately 20cm of differential transmission lines before making it to the measurement point. This eye diagram indicates a reasonable impedance match between the transmitter and receiver and a good transmission media with no large impedance discontinuities. It does exhibit an amount of jitter, but not in excess of the specifications for the JESD204 interface. The eye diagram does not exhibit any overshoot, but does have a slight amount of undershoot on the rising edges due to the slowing of the signal as it passes through the transmission media. This is to be expected however after passing through the connector and the 20cm of differential transmission lines. The mean UI looks to match the expected UI of approximately 200ps with the signal having small amount of jitter. Overall, this eye diagram presents a good signal to the receiver which should have no trouble recovering the embedded data clock and properly decoding the data.

![Figure 1. 5.0 Gbps Eye Diagram](image)

The eye diagram presented in Figure 2 is measured in with the same transmission media as used in the measurement for Figure 1 with the exception that the termination impedance is incorrect. The effects can be seen in the increased amount of jitter present in the signal at the crossing points as well as in the non-transition areas. The overall amplitude is compressed in many of the data acquisitions resulting in an eye diagram that is beginning to close. The degradation will cause an increase in the BER at the receiver and could possibly result in the loss of the JESD204B link at the receiver if the eye closes beyond what the receiver can tolerate.
The eye diagram presented in Figure 3 presents another case of a non-ideal transmission of data. In this case an impedance discontinuity is presented at a point midway between the transmitter and the receiver (in this case an oscilloscope). As can be seen by the degraded performance in the plot, the eye opening is closing meaning that the area inside the transition points is getting smaller. The rising edges and falling edges of the data severely degraded due to the reflections of the impedance discontinuity on the transmission line. The impedance discontinuity also contributes to an increase in the amount of jitter seen at the data transition points. Once the eye closes beyond the limits of the receiver’s capability to decode the data stream, the data link will be lost. In the case of Figure 3, it is likely that many receivers would be unable to decode the data stream.

The Bathtub Plot

In addition to the eye diagram, the bathtub plot also provides useful insight into the quality of the serial data transmission on a JESD204B data link. The bathtub plot is a measurement of the BER (bit error rate) as a function of the sampling point as it moves across the eye diagram in time. The bathtub plot is
generated by moving the sampling point across the eye diagram and measuring the resultant BER at each point. As Figure 4 illustrates, the closer the sampling point is to the center of the eye, the BER decreases. As the sampling point moves closer to the transition points of the eye diagram, the BER increases. The distance between the two slopes of the bathtub plot at a given BER gives the eye opening at the specified BER ($10^{-12}$ in this case).

Figure 4. 5.0 Gbps Eye Diagram – Bathtub Plot Measurement

The bathtub plot also provides information on the jitter ($T_j$) components present in the signal. As Figure 5 shows, when the measurement point is at or near the transition points, it is relatively flat and the main jitter component is deterministic jitter. As with the eye diagram measurements, the bathtub plots are from measurements on a JESD204B 5.0 Gbps transmitter measured at the receiver after passing through a connector and approximately 20 cm of transmission line. As the measurement point moves closer to the middle of the eye opening, the primary jitter mechanism is random jitter. Random jitter is the result of a large number of processes that are typically small in magnitude. Typical sources would include thermal noise, variations in trace width, shot noise, etc. The PDF (probability density function) of random jitter usually follows a Gaussian distribution. On the other hand, deterministic jitter results from a small number of processes that may have large magnitudes and may not be independent. The PDF of deterministic jitter is bounded and has a well-defined peak-to-peak value. It can have varying shapes and is typically not Gaussian.
An expanded view of the bathtub plot discussed in Figure 4 is given in Figure 6 below. This represents an eye opening of approximately 0.6 UI (unit interval) the receiver for a 5.0 Gbps serial data transmission with a BER of $10^{-12}$. It is important to note that the bathtub plot such as the one in Figure 6 is an extrapolated measurement. The oscilloscope used to capture the data takes a set of measurements and extrapolates the bathtub plot. If one were to use a BERT (bit error rate tester) and acquire enough measurements to build the bathtub plot, it could take hours or even days, even with the high speed operation of today’s measurement equipment.

Just as in the eye diagram, an improper termination or impedance discontinuity in the system can be seen in the bathtub plot. In contrast to the bathtub plot in Figure 6, the bathtub plots in Figure 7 and Figure 8 exhibit much shallower slopes on each side. The eye opening for a BER of $10^{-12}$ is only 0.5 UI in both cases which is more than 10% less than the 0.6 UI for the good condition. The improper termination and impedance discontinuity contribute a large amount of random jitter to the system. This
is evidenced by the decreasing slope on each side of the bathtub plot along with the decreased eye opening at a BER of $10^{-12}$. There is also a small increase in the deterministic jitter as well. This is evidenced again by the decreasing slope near the edges of the bathtub plot.

**Figure 7. 5.0 Gbps Bathtub Plot – Improper Termination**

**Figure 8. 5.0 Gbps Bathtub Plot – Impedance Discontinuity**

**The Histogram Plot**

A third useful measurement is the histogram plot. This plot shows the distribution of the measured periods between transition points in the data transmission. As with the eye diagram and bathtub plot measurements, the histogram plots are from measurements on a JESD204B 5.0 Gbps transmitter measured at the receiver after passing through a connector and approximately 20 cm of transmission line. Figure 9 shows a histogram for a relatively good performing system at 5.0 Gbps. The histogram shows a mostly Gaussian type distribution with periods measured between 185 ps and 210 ps. The expected period for a 5.0 Gbps signal should be 200 ps which means the distribution is spread about -7.5% to +5% around its expected value.
When an improper termination is introduced, as shown in Figure 10, the distribution becomes wider and now varies between 170 ps and 220 ps. This increases the percentage of variation from -15\% to +10\%, which is double that of the measurement shown in Figure 9. These plots show that mostly random jitter is present in the signal since they have a mostly Gaussian-like shape. However, since the shape is not exactly Gaussian in nature which indicates there is at least a small amount of deterministic jitter also.

The histogram presented in Figure 11 indicates the results of having an impedance discontinuity along the transmission line. The shape of the distribution is not Gaussian-like at all and has developed a small secondary hump. The mean value of the measured period is also skewed. Unlike the plots in Figure 9 and Figure 10, the mean is no longer 200 ps, it has shifted to about 204 ps. The more bi-modal distribution indicates that there is more deterministic jitter in the system. This is due to the impedance discontinuity present on the transmission lines and the predictable impacts this has on the system. The range of values measured for the period is again increased, although not as much as in the case of the
improper termination. In this case, the range is from 175 ps up to 215 ps, which is a range of approximately -12.5% to +7.5% of the expected period. The range isn’t as large, but again, the distribution is more bi-modal in nature.

![Figure 11. 5.0 Gbps Histogram Plot – Impedance Discontinuity](image)

**Conclusion**

Several performance metrics can be used to evaluate the performance of the physical layer of a JESD204B transmitter. These include common mode voltage, differential peak-to-peak voltage, differential impedance, differential output return loss, common mode return loss, transmitter short circuit current, eye diagram mask, and jitter. Three key performance metrics have been discussed that are used to evaluate the quality of the transmitted signal. The eye diagram, bathtub plot, and histogram plot are three important performance metrics that are used to evaluate the quality of the JESD204B link. System issues such as improper terminations and impedance discontinuities have significant impact on the performance of the physical layer. These impacts are evidenced by the degraded performance shown in the eye diagrams, bathtub plots, and histogram plots. It is important to maintain good design practices to properly terminate the system and to avoid impedance discontinuities in the transmission media. These have appreciable negative effects on the data transmission and can result in a faulty data link between the JESD204B transmitter and receiver. Employing techniques to avoid these issues will help to ensure a properly working system.
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